CHAPTER 1: SECTION 1.3

8/30/2012

Representation in Predicate Logic

- Example from book:
 - What if you want to represent the statement: For every x, x > 0
 - Can you do it in propositional logic?
 - Predicate logic
 - Uses predicates and quantifiers
 - Above example would be represented as $(\forall x)(x > 0)$
 - Is this statement true?

Representation in Predicate Logic

Quantifiers

- Universal quantifier: V
 Means "for all," "for every"
- Generically, let P(x) be x > 0, then we say $(\forall x)P(x)$

where P(x): x > 0, domain: all positive integers

Example: P(x): x has a red cover
 Domain: all books in the UAHuntsville library
 What does (\forall x)P(x) mean? Is it true or false?

Representation in Predicate Logic

Quantifiers

Existential quantifier: 3

Means "there exists one," "at least one," "for some"

Example

 $(\exists x) P(x)$

where P(x): x > 0, domain: all positive integers

There exists an x such that x > 0

For some x, x > 0

Is this true?

Practice 15 (page 35)

- What is the truth value of the expression in each of the following interpretations?
 - $\square P(x): x \text{ is yellow,}$

domain of interpretation: collection of all buttercups

- P(x): x is yellow,
 domain: collection of all flowers
- P(x): x is a plant,
 domain: all flowers
- P(x): x is either positive or negative, domain: the integers

Practice 16 (page 36)

- □ Construct an interpretation in which $(\forall x)P(x)$ has the value true.
 - Interpretation: defined on page 37
- □ Construct an interpretation in which $(\forall x)P(x)$ has the value false.
- □ Can you find one interpretation in which both $(\forall x)P(x)$ is true and $(\exists x)P(x)$ is false?
- □ Can you find one interpretation in which $both(\forall x)P(x)$ is false and $(\exists x)P(x)$ is true?

Translating English Statements

Translating English statements into predicate logic statements

- All cows eat grass.
 - Domain: whole world
- Predicates
 - $\square C(x)$: x is a cow
 - G(x): x eats grass

How do we represent the statement in predicate logic?

Reword: For any thing, if that thing is a cow, it eats grass.

Translating English Statements

Translating English statements into predicate logic statements

- Some cow eats grass.
 - Domain: whole world
- Predicates
 - C(x): x is a cow
 - G(x): x eats grass

How do we represent the statement in predicate logic?

Reword: There is at least one thing that is a cow and it eats grass.

n-ary Predicates

- □ So far we have see unary predicates.
- □ Can also have binary (and ternary, etc.) predicates.
 □ Example: (∀x)(∃y)Q(x,y)
 - **D** For every x, there exists a y such that Q(x, y).
- Example 20
 - $\square Q(x, y): x < y$, domain is the set of integers
 - What does $(\forall x)(\exists y)Q(x,y)$ mean in this context?
 - What about $(\exists y)(\forall x)Q(x,y)$

Practice 18 (page 40)

- Use the following predicate symbols to construct wffs that express the given statements.
 - Predicates
 - S(x): x is a student
 - \blacksquare *I(x)*: *x* is intelligent
 - M(x): x likes music
 - Domain: the collection of all people
 - Statements
 - All students are intelligent.
 - Some intelligent students like music.
 - Everyone who likes music is a stupid student.
 - Only intelligent students like music.

Practice 19 (page 40)

Translate English into symbolic form

Predicates

- F(x): x is a fruit
- V(x): x is a vegetable
- S(x,y): x is sweeter than y
- Domain: whole world
- Statements
 - Some vegetable is sweeter than all fruits.
 - Every fruit is sweeter than all vegetables.
 - Every fruit is sweet than some vegetable.
 - Only fruits are sweeter than vegetables.

Negation

Given

 \square B(x): x is beautiful

□ Negate the statement $(\forall x)B(x)$

Negation: $[(\forall x)B(x)]' = ?$

□ Negate the statement (∃x)B(x)
 □ Negation: [(∃x)B(x)]' = ?

§ 1.3, Problem #20 (page 48)

Three forms of negation are given for each statement. Which is correct?

- Nobody is perfect.
 - Everyone is imperfect.
 - Everyone is perfect.
 - Someone is perfect.
- All swimmers are tall.
 - Some swimmer is not tall.
 - There are no tall swimmers.
 - Every swimmer is short.
- Every planet is cold and lifeless.
 - No planet is cold and lifeless.
 - Some planet is not cold and not lifeless.
 - Some planet is not cold or not lifeless.

Validity

- Analogous to a tautology of propositional logic
- Truth of a predicate wff depends on the interpretation.
- A predicate wff is valid if it is true in all possible interpretations just like a propositional wff is a tautology if it is true for all rows of the truth table.

Validity Examples

$(\forall x) P(x) \rightarrow (\exists x) P(x)$

Valid - if every object of the domain has a certain property, then there exists an object of the domain that has the same property.

 $(\forall x) P(x) \rightarrow P(a)$

Valid – a is a member of the domain of x.

 $(\exists x) P(x) \rightarrow (\forall x) P(x)$

- Not valid the property cannot be valid for all objects in the domain just because it is valid for some objects of the domain.
- Counterexample: Say P(x) = x is even, then there exists an integer that is even but not every integer is even.

 $(\forall x)[P(x) \lor Q(x)] \rightarrow (\forall x)P(x) \lor (\forall x)Q(x)$

- Invalid Counterexample: Say P(x) = x is even and Q(x) = x is odd
- The hypothesis is true but not the conclusion, because it is not the case that every integer is even or that every integer is odd.

§ 1.3, Problem #10

Given

- $\square B(x): x \text{ is a ball}$
- $\square R(x): x \text{ is round}$
- $\square S(x): x \text{ is a soccer ball}$
- Write each statements as a predicate wff
 - All balls are round.
 - Not all balls are soccer balls.
 - All soccer balls are round.
 - Some balls are not round.
 - Some balls are round but soccer balls are not.
 - Every round ball is a soccer ball.
 - Only soccer balls are round balls.
 - If soccer balls are round, then all balls are round.